Influence of nitrogen and weed management practices on productivity and nutrient uptake of wet direct seeded rice

S K Chaudhary*, S. Jha and N.K. Sinha

Rajendra Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur (Bihar)-848125

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at Pusa (Bihar) during wet season of 2005 and 2006 to evaluate the efficiency of weed management practices under different nitrogen scheduling on weed growth and yield of wet direct seeded rice Twenty five treatment combinations consisted of five weed management practices and five nitrogen scheduling practices. The various weed management treatments significantly decreased the density and dry weight of weed and increased the weed control efficiency, grain and straw yield compared with the unweeded check. Butachlor with Safener combined with one hand weeding, was at par with two hand weeding, recorded maximum values of the entire yield attributes and yield with lesser weed density and dry weight over weedy check. Application of N in 4-5 splits produced maximum grain yield and net return of wet seeded rice.

Key words: direct-seeded rice, nitrogen scheduling, nutrient uptake, weed management

Massive migration of labour, creating scarcity during the peak period of transplanting, and escalating labour wages are the new challenges facing rice farmers in the state. Transplanting often gets delayed due to nonavailability of labour. The late planted crop has low productivity plant⁻¹ due to restricted vegetative growth. Direct seeded rice (DSR) is often smothered by weeds. Heavy weed infestation, owing to non-competitiveness of DSR to weeds, is one of the major constraints in adoption and spread of direct seeding technique of rice cultivation. Direct sown rice on puddled soil faces a severe infestation of weeds which reduce the yield of rice to an extent of 78% (Pattar et al., 2005). Nitrogen is a key nutrient in promoting the plant growth and determining the yield level of rice. Recovery of applied nitrogen in rice is very low owing to various losses. Optimization of applied nitrogen at critical growth stage, coinciding with the period of efficient utilization are essential (Pandey et al., 2002) to meet the nitrogen requirement of crop throughout the growing season and to minimize N uptake by weeds. Thus, the present experiment was conducted to evaluate the performance of wet direct seeded rice under different nitrogen scheduling and weed management practices and to develop suitable combination of N scheduling and weed management practices.

□ 222 □

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during wet seasons of 2005 and 2006 at Rajendra Agricultural University, Pusa (Bihar) in silty loam alkaline soil having pH 8.4 with medium in organic carbon (0.53%) and low in available N (207.4 kg ha⁻¹), P₂O₅ (19.3 kg ha⁻¹) and K₂O (125.8 kg ha⁻¹) content. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replication, comprising five methods of nitrogen scheduling, viz. N,-Control, N_2 - $\frac{1}{2}$ at sowing(s) + $\frac{1}{4}$ Tillering (T) + $\frac{1}{4}$ panicle initiation (PI), N₃ - ½ Early tillering(20 days after sowing- ET) + $\frac{1}{4}$ Tillering + $\frac{1}{4}$ PI, $N_4 - \frac{1}{4}$ ET + $\frac{1}{4}$ Tillering + $\frac{1}{4}$ PI + $\frac{1}{4}$ panicle emergence (PE), N₅ – $\frac{1}{4}$ 5 sowing + 1/5 ET + 1/5 T + 1/5 PI + 1/5 PE and 5weed management practices, viz. W₁ - Butachlor @ 1.5 kg a.i/ha with safener, $W_2 - W_1 + 1$ Hand weeding 30 days after sowing (DAS), $W_3 - 2$. Hand weeding (20 DAS & 40 DAS), W_4 – Hand weeding once (25 DAS), W₅ - Weedy check. The entire quantity of phosphorus as single superphosphate was applied as basal. Potassium (75% as Basal + 25% at PI) as muriate of potash and nitrogen as per treatment through urea along with 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ were applied. Pregerminated sprouted seeds of rice var. 'Rajendra kasturi' was sown in the second week of June during both the years with seed rate 80 kg ha⁻¹. Nitrogen, phosphorus

and potassium contents of grain and straw of rice were estimated by standard methods. The yield parameters and yields were recorded and economics was worked out. Observation on weeds density and dry weight were recorded at 60 days after sowing by placing a quadrate of 1 m x 1 m randomly at two places in 23each plot. The data on number and dry weight of weeds were subjected to square-root transformation ("x + 0.5) before statistical analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The dominant weed flora infesting the experimental plots consisted of grassy weeds, viz. Echinochloa colona (L.), Echinochloa crus-galli (L.), Eleusine indica(L.), Sedges Cyperus rotundus (L.), Cyperus iria(L.), Fimbristylis miliacea (L.); and broad-leaf weeds Amaranthus viridis (L.), Amania baccifera (L.), Casularia axilaris (L.). Analysis of weed flora revealed that grassy weeds, sedges and broad-leaf weeds constituted 34.7, 46.2 and 19.1 % of total weed flora, respectively. All the weed management practices significantly reduced the weed population and dry weight of weeds over weedy check. Butachlor at 1.5 kg a.i ha-1 with safener supplemented by one hand weeding (once at 30 DAS) recorded the lowest weed density and dry weight and was at par with the treatments hand weeding twice at 20 and 40 DAS. This might be owing to effective control of early as well as lateemerged weeds with the integrated use of herbicide combined with one hand weeding. Butachlor + one hand weeding recorded maximum WCE (73.29%) followed by hand weeding twice (71.71%). This may be ascribed to the reduced dry weight of weeds due to effective weed management practices (Bayon and Kandasamy, 2002; Singh et al., 2005). Split application of N resulted in significant variation on weed density and dry weight of weed. N-scheduling under N₂ (½ at sowing+¼ tillering + ¹/₄P) recorded significantly higher values of weed density and dry weight of weed. Higher proportion of nitrogen in early stages of plant establishment when growth is slow with lower competitiveness boosted initial weed growth leading to increased weed density and dry weight (Singh et al, 2005).

Crop-weed competition may reduce rice yield by adversely affecting one or more yield attributes. The yield attributes (panicles m⁻², panicle length, panicle weight, filled grains panicle⁻¹ etc.) increased significantly by all the weed management practices compared to weedy check, however, their efficacy varied depending upon their ability to control the composite population of weeds. The increase in yield was owing to significant reduction in density and dry weight of weeds which restrict their ability to compete with the crop for different growth factors resulting into better expression of yield components. The minimum grain yield was recorded under weedy check which was attributed to more weed growth and poor yield attributes formation (Maity and Mukherjce, 2008).

Significant improvement in yield attributes and yield due to N-sheduling was observed (Table-1). Splitting of N - 1/4 th each at early tillering, active tillering, PI and PE resulted in significant increase in

Table 1. Effect of treatment on growth, yield attributes, yield and economics of direct seedeed rice (means of two years)

| Treatment | Height (cm) | Panicles m ⁻² | Panicle Weight (g) | yield | Straw yield (t ha ⁻¹) | Net return (Rsha ⁻¹) |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------|---|--|
| N-scheduling | | | | | | |
| N_1 | 108 | 227 | 1.58 | 1.90 | 2.92 | 5365 |
| N_2 | 116 | 246 | 1.85 | 2.51 | 3.82 | 11690 |
| N_3 | 117 | 251 | 1.88 | 2.63 | 4.01 | 12937 |
| N_4 | 118 | 262 | 1.97 | 2.94 | 4.44 | 16145 |
| N_5 | 118 | 259 | 1.95 | 2.81 | 4.29 | 14807 |
| CD(P=0.05) | 1.4 | 11 | 0.09 | 0.27 | 0.29 | - |
| $\mathbf{W}_{_{1}}$ | 115 | 247 | 1.86 | 2.52 | 3.83 | 12557 |
| \mathbf{W}_{2} | 119 | 262 | 1.97 | 2.96 | 4.48 | 15620 |
| \overline{W}_3 | 117 | 258 | 1.95 | 2.89 | 4.38 | 14370 |
| W_4 | 115 | 251 | 1.86 | 2.67 | 4.07 | 13217 |
| $\mathbf{W}_{_{5}}$ | 111 | 227 | 1.60 | 1.79 | 2.72 | 5580 |
| CD(P=0.05) | 1.4 | 11 | 0.09 | 0.27 | 0.29 | - |

 $N_1\text{-Control},\,N_2\text{-}\frac{1}{2}$ at sowing + $\frac{1}{4}$ Tillering (T) + $\frac{1}{4}$ panicle initiation (PI), N_3 - $\frac{1}{2}$ Early tillering (20 days after sowing- ET) + $\frac{1}{4}$ Tillering + $\frac{1}{4}$ PI, N_4 - $\frac{1}{4}$ ET + $\frac{1}{4}$ Tillering + $\frac{1}{4}$ PI + $\frac{1}{4}$ panicle emergence (PE), N_5 - $\frac{1}{5}$ sowing + $\frac{1}{5}$ ET + $\frac{1}{5}$ T + $\frac{1}{5}$ PI + $\frac{1}{5}$ PE, W_1 - Butachlor @ 1.5 kg a.i ha¹ with safener, W_2 - W_1 + 1 Hand weeding 30 days after sowing (DAS), W_3 - 2.Hand weeding (20 DAS and 40 DAS), W_4 - Hand weeding once (25 DAS), W_5 - Weedy check

yield over N splitting as ½ at sowing + ¼ of tillering + ¼ at PI and ½ at early tillering + ¼ active tillering + ¼ PI, while staying at par with 1/5th each at sowing, early tillering, active tillering, PI and PE. This might be ascribed to the adequate supply of nutrients and

□ 223 □

metabolites for growth and development of plants. Nitrogen efficiency get enhanced, as evident from higher yield attributes and yield, when it is congruent with crop requirement (Cassman, *et al*, 1998). Higher value of yield attributes and yield under these treatment might be due to better synchronization between crop N demand and supply at critical physiological stages crucial for better assimilation and translocation of photosynthates towards grain (Avasthe, 2009).

Nitrogen management practices significantly increased the crop uptake of NPK. Application of N at four splits viz. 1/4th N each at early tillering, active tillering, panicles initiation and panicle emergence recorded maximum N, P and K (kg ha⁻¹) which was significantly superior over other practices (Table 2).

recorded significantly higher NPK uptake over other weed management practices while staying at par with each other. Weedy check resulted in the highest uptake of nutrients (NPK) by weeds, whereas the lowest uptake was recorded by Butachlor with safenor supplemented with one hand weeding at 30 DAS and was closely followed by two hand weeding. Low uptake of nutrients by weeds under these treatments might be ascribed to the minimum dry weight of weed recorded under these treatments.

The highest net return of Rs. 16145 ha⁻¹ was recorded with N applied at four splits viz. 1/4th each ET, AT, PI and PE because of the higher grain and straw yield. Weed management practices appreciably increased the net return. The maximum (Rs. 15620

Table 2. Effect of treatment on weed growth and NPK uptake by crop and weeds (means of two years)

| Treatment | Weed density (No m ⁻²) | Weed dry weight (g m ⁻²) | Weed control efficiency (%) | Uptake by Crop | | | Uptake by Weed | | |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|----------------|-------|-------|----------------|------|-------|
| | | | | N | Р | K | N | Р | K |
| N-scheduling | | | | | | | | | |
| N1 | 12.0 (144) | 7.9 (62.61) | 53.6 | 41.39 | 9.66 | 49.98 | 8.58 | 1.82 | 12.20 |
| N2 | 11.8 (138) | 7.3 (53.10) | 56.7 | 60.62 | 12.52 | 64.61 | 7.36 | 1.49 | 10.29 |
| N3 | 10.9 (119) | 6.6 (42.50) | 58.8 | 63.31 | 13.17 | 66.98 | 5.88 | 1.15 | 8.20 |
| N4 | 8.6 (74) | 5.6 (30.83) | 65.3 | 68.33 | 14.42 | 72.41 | 4.26 | 0.83 | 5.94 |
| N5 | 9.2 (85) | 6.0 (35.42) | 61.6 | 65.98 | 13.94 | 71.72 | 4.90 | 0.96 | 6.83 |
| CD-(P=0.05) | 0.9 | 0.7 | - | 3.62 | 1.57 | 4.1 | 1.03 | 0.4 | 1.12 |
| Weed manageme | nt | | | | | | | | |
| W1 | 11.4 (130) | 7.0 (49.15) | 43.2 | 59.75 | 12.6 | 65.27 | 6.80 | 1.39 | 9.55 |
| W2 | 7.2 (51) | 4.8 (22.25) | 73.2 | 67.44 | 14.25 | 72.46 | 3.06 | 0.67 | 4.35 |
| W3 | 8.2 (66) | 5.0 (24.57) | 71.7 | 68.74 | 14.55 | 73.24 | 3.40 | 0.73 | 4.79 |
| W4 | 9.7 (94) | 6.5 (41.87) | 48.8 | 63.69 | 13.32 | 68.04 | 5.74 | 1.14 | 8.04 |
| W5 | 14.8 (219) | 9.3 (85.23) | - | 39.92 | 9.03 | 46.12 | 11.68 | 2.31 | 16.36 |
| CD(P=0.05) | 0.9 | 0.7 | - | 3.62 | 1.57 | 4.1 | | 0.4 | 1.12 |

 N_1 -Control, N_2 - $\frac{1}{2}$ at sowing + $\frac{1}{4}$ Tillering (T) + $\frac{1}{4}$ panicle initiation (PI), N_3 - $\frac{1}{2}$ Early tillering (20 days after sowing- ET) + $\frac{1}{4}$ Tillering + $\frac{1}{4}$ PI, N_4 - $\frac{1}{4}$ ET + $\frac{1}{4}$ Tillering + $\frac{1}{4}$ PI + $\frac{1}{4}$ panicle emergence (PE), N_5 - $\frac{1}{5}$ sowing + $\frac{1}{5}$ ET + $\frac{1}{5}$ T + $\frac{1}{5}$ PI + $\frac{1}{5}$ PE, W_1 - Butachlor @ 1.5 kg a.i ha⁻¹ with safener, W_2 - W_1 + 1 Hand weeding 30 days after sowing (DAS), W_3 - 2.Hand weeding (20 DAS and 40 DAS), W_4 - Hand weeding once (25 DAS), W_5 - Weedy check

Values in parentheses are original

The improvement in NPK uptake was reflected in higher grain and straw yield. This might be ascribed to the adequate supply of nutrients and metabolites for growth and development (Dixit and Gupta, 2000). Among weed management practices, Butachlor @ 1.5 kg a.i ha⁻¹ with safener plus 1 Hand weeding 30 days after sowing (W₂) and two hand weedings (20 DAS and 40 DAS) (W₃)

ha⁻¹) was obtained from the treatment with herbicide supplemented with hand weeding followed by two hand weeding (Rs. 14370 ha⁻¹). This could be due to high weed control efficiency and higher grain yield.

The grain quality parameters like hulling percentage milling percentage, head rice recovery, $\ensuremath{L\!/}$

□ 224 □

Table 3. Effect of treatment on quality of direct seeded rice(means of two years)

| Treatment | Hulling(%) | Milling(%) | Head rice | L/B ratio | Alkali value | Amylose content |
|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|
| N-scheduling | | | | | | |
| N1 | 74.96 | 66.13 | 57.67 | 4.32 | 3.47 | 15.03 |
| N2 | 74.99 | 66.89 | 57.91 | 4.31 | 3.49 | 15.07 |
| N3 | 75.07 | 67.34 | 57.89 | 4.32 | 3.49 | 15.09 |
| N4 | 75.13 | 68.09 | 58.38 | 4.35 | 3.52 | 15.14 |
| N5 | 75.11 | 68.02 | 58.14 | 4.35 | 3.51 | 15.13 |
| CD(P=0.5) | NS | 0.69 | 0.64 | NS | NS | NS |
| Weed management | | | | | | |
| W1 | 75.03 | 67.42 | 57.93 | 4.33 | 3.48 | 15.08 |
| W2 | 75.08 | 67.35 | 58.09 | 4.32 | 3.47 | 15.09 |
| W3 | 75.17 | 67.24 | 58.13 | 4.32 | 3.52 | 15.11 |
| W4 | 75.14 | 67.38 | 57.96 | 4.36 | 3.52 | 15.11 |
| W5 | 74.78 | 67.09 | 57.83 | 4.33 | 3.50 | 15.09 |
| CD (P=0.5) | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |

 N_1 -Control, N_2 - $\frac{1}{2}$ at sowing + $\frac{1}{4}$ Tillering (T) + $\frac{1}{4}$ panicle initiation (PI), N_3 - $\frac{1}{2}$ Early tillering (20 days after sowing- ET) + $\frac{1}{4}$ Tillering + $\frac{1}{4}$ PI, N_4 - $\frac{1}{4}$ ET + $\frac{1}{4}$ Tillering + $\frac{1}{4}$ PI + $\frac{1}{4}$ panicle emergence (PE), N_5 - $\frac{1}{5}$ sowing + $\frac{1}{5}$ ET + $\frac{1}{5}$ T + $\frac{1}{5}$ PI + $\frac{1}{5}$ PE, W_1 - Butachlor @ 1.5 kg a.i ha⁻¹ with safener, W_2 - W_1 + 1 Hand weeding 30 days after sowing (DAS), W_3 - 2.Hand weeding (20 DAS and 40 DAS), W_4 - Hand weeding once (25 DAS), W_5 - Weedy check

B ratio, Alkali value and amylose content were analysed and most of the characters were found to be remained unaffected due to nitrogen and weed management-practices, except milling percentage and head rice recovery which were found to be statistically superior (Table 3) when N was applied in four equal amount at early tillering, active tillering, panicle initiation and panicle emergence. Thus, It may be concluded that application of N at early tillering, active tillering, panicle initiation and panicle emergence along with preemergence application of Butachlor with safener followed by 1 hand weeding is crucial for enhancing grain yield of quality rice under existing agro-climatic condition of north Bihar plains.

REFERENCES

Avasthe Ravikant 2009. Nitrogen management in transplanted rice in mid hill acidic soils of Sikkim Himalayas. Indian Journal of Agronomy 54(1): 47-51.

Bayan HC and Kandasamy OS 2002. Effect of weed control methods and split application of nitrogen on weeds and crop in direct seeded puddle rice. Crop Research, Hissar 24(2): 266.72

Cassman KG, Peng S, Olk DC, Ladha JK, Reichrdt W, Doberman A and Singh U 1998. Opportunities for increased nitrogen use efficiency from improved resource management in irrigated lowland rice system. Field Crop Research 56:7-38

Dixit KG and Gupta BR 2000. Effect of farmyard manure, chemical and biofertilizers on yield and quality of rice and soil properties. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science 48(4):773-780

Maity SK and Mukherjee PK 2008. Integrated weed management in dry direct-seeded rice. Indian Journal of Agronomy 53(2):116-120

Pandey S, Mortimer M, Wade L, Tung TP, Lopez K and Hardy B 2002. Direct seeding: Research strategies and opportunities. IRRI, Los Banos, Philippines, pp383

Pattar PS, Reddy BG Masthana and Kuchanur PH 2005. Evaluation of halo-sulfuron methyl for weed control in puddle direct seeded rice. Indian Journal of Agricultural science 75(4): 230-1

Singh RP, Singh CM and Singh AK 2005. Effect of crop establishment method, weed management and split application of nitrogen on weeds and yield of rice. Indian Journal of Agricultural science 75(5):285-87

□ 225 □